UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN NORTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,	CASE NO: 09-20286
<i>v</i> .	DISTRICT JUDGE THOMAS L. LUDINGTON MAGISTRATE JUDGE CHARLES E. BINDER
JAMES H. EUDIS,	WINGISTRATE JODGE CHARLES E. BINDEN
Defendant.	
	/

MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT, FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING PLEA OF GUILTY

I. REPORT AND FINDINGS

On July 15, 2009, the case was referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 636(b)(1(B) and 636(b)(3) for purposes of receiving, on consent of the parties, Defendant's offer of a plea of guilty. The Defendant, along with counsel, appeared before me on July 24, 2009. In open court I examined the Defendant under oath, confirmed the Defendant's consent, and then advised and questioned the Defendant regarding each of the inquiries prescribed by Rule 11(b) of the FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE. Based upon the Defendant's answers and demeanor, I HEREBY FIND 1) that the Defendant is competent to tender a plea; 2) that Defendant's plea was knowingly and intelligently made; and 3) that the offense to which he pled is supported by an independent basis in fact containing each of the essential elements of the offense. Therefore, I have ordered the preparation of a presentence investigation report.

1:09-cr-20286-TLL-CEB Doc # 5 Filed 07/27/09 Pg 2 of 2 Pg ID 28

II. RECOMMENDATION

For the reasons set forth above, **IT IS RECOMMENDED** that subject to the Court's

consideration of the plea agreement pursuant to Rule 11(c) of the FEDERAL RULES OF

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE, the Defendant be adjudged guilty and have sentence imposed.

III. <u>REVIEW</u>

The parties to this action may object to and seek review of this Report and

Recommendation within ten (10) days of service of a copy hereof as provided for in 28 U.S.C.

§ 636(b)(1). Failure to file specific objections constitutes a waiver of any further right of

appeal. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 106 S. Ct. 466, 88 L. Ed.2d 435 (1985); Frontier Ins.

Co. v. Blaty, 454 F.3d 590, 596 (6th Cir. 2006); United States v. Sullivan, 431 F.3d 976, 984

(6th Cir. 2005). The parties are advised that making some objections, but failing to raise

others, will not preserve all the objections a party may have to this Report and

Recommendation. McClanahan v. Comm'r of Social Security, 474 F.3d 830, 837 (6th Cir.

2006); Frontier Ins. Co., 454 F.3d at 596-97. Pursuant to E.D. Mich. LR 72.1(d)(2), a copy of

any objections is to be served upon this Magistrate Judge.

s/ **Charles** & **Binder**

CHARLES E. BINDER

Dated: July 27, 2009

United States Magistrate Judge

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that this Report and Recommendation was electronically filed this date, electronically served on Robert Haviland, served on Eric Nemeth and Pretrial Services by other electronic means, and served on District Judge Ludington in the traditional manner.

Date: July 27, 2009 By s/Jean L. Broucek

Case Manager to Magistrate Judge Binder